

|   |                        |  |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> |  | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/702,041             |  | MERCURIO ET AL.     |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        |  | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Daniel S. Metzmaier    |  | 1796                |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Richard H. Tushin.

**Date of Interview:** 19 March 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none.*

Claims discussed:  
*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner notified applicants' representative the the status of the parent application was to be updated in the specification. Applicants' representative authorized said update.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ~ 9:15 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)